EVOLUTION OF THE SÁZAVA VALLEY IN THE BOHEMIAN MASSIF
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The Sázava River course and catchment were initiated, in a form similar to the present one, during younger periods of the Neogene. The main drives towards the Sázava drainage reunification were arch-like uplift of the present watershed area between the Sázava River and the Labe River during the Upper Miocene to Pliocene. During the Pliocene, the Sázava flowed to the west, in a large vale-shaped valley deepened into a tectonically and denudationally deformed Palaeogene planation surface, as well as into lower Neogene planation levels. The Quaternary cutting of the Sázava valley to an average depth of 70 – 90 m (in the lowest course up to 105 m and in the upper segment about 50 m) was induced by an epeirogenetic uplift of the Bohemian Massif. The typically developed incised meanders and bends were formed mostly in the Middle Pleistocene. Height conditions of the Sázava river terraces are shown in Tab. 1 and comparison of the Sázava system with terrace systems of the Vltava River and the Labe River as well as chronostratigraphical correlation with the North European stratigraphical classification of the Quaternary is given in Tab. 2. According to the current stratigraphical scheme of the Quaternary, the entire Sázava terraces’ system was formed mostly to the Middle and Late Pleistocene, that is to the period from the “Cromerian Complex” to the Weichselian stages. Erosional stages, before accumulation of terrace I, fall in the end of the Early Pleistocene. Older levels of fluvial sediments, occupying a still higher morphological position in the studied areas of the Bohemian Massif and up to now classified as Pliocene, have thus been stratigraphically to be shifted to the Early Pleistocene.
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INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to determine, on the basis of the terrace system of the river and geomorphological analysis of the relief, the main stages of the Sázava River valley evolution through the late Cenozoic. The results of geomorphological research into the Sázava basin are therefore presented both with regard to identifying the main remains of river terraces sedimentary sequences and in correlation with the chronostratigraphical system of the Vltava River and Labe River terraces. From the point of view of geomorphological research, the Sázava River valley represents a relatively neglected stream in the Bohemian Labe catchment area.

The earliest opinions on the pre-Quaternary evolution of the Sázava basin, were presented by DANEŠ (1913) and PUFFER (1930) who differentiated five terraces in the lowest part of the Sázava course. The fundamental work on the Sázava terraces and valley and basin evolution was published by NOVÁK (1932). He described river terraces with the exception of the lower course. Novák’s river-terrace localities are not always of fluvial origin, some are denudational plateaux, covered by subangular cobbles and, mainly in the middle course, with sharp-edged, quartz-dominated debris. The main river terrace localities of the middle and mainly of the lower Sázava were classified by ENGELMANN (1938). Fluvial sediments and river network evolution in the Sázava catchment were also mentioned by NOVÁK (1943) in his monograph on the geomorphology of the Českomoravská vrchovina Highland.

Older literature on the Sázava terraces and valley and basin evolution before 1961 was summarised by BALATKA and SLÁDEK (1962) and NOVÁK (1932) paper was used by ZÁRUBA and RYBÁŘ (1961) for their work on huge fluvial accumulations in the Sázava middle course in the larger neighbourhood of Zruč nad Sázavou. Terraces of the lower Sázava between Vrabčí Brod and Zbořený Kostelec were studied by ŠTĚPÁNČIKOVÁ (2003). Above the alluvial plain, she distinguished five levels and related them to the Vltava terrace system (ZÁRUBA et al. 1977). Syntheses by MALKOVSKÝ (1975, 1976 and 1979) are also important for the interpretation of the Sázava valley evolution in the Neogene. The present geomorphological research into the...
Fig. 1. Specification of the Sázava river position in the middle Bohemia at a part of the map „Bohemia“ (Pieter van den Keere, Amsterdam 1620).

The source region of the Sázava river is not correctly drawn so far and the Želivka river is entirely absent. This color historical map (58 x 47 cm) is based on the Zimmermann’s map of Bohemia (1619). Reproduction of the original map from the Map Collection of the Charles University in Prague. Cartographical sources and historical data were provided by Petr Jánský.
Sázava valley evolution was initiated some ten years ago and partial or thematically related studies were published by BALATKA and KALVODA (2006), BALATKA and ŠTĚPANČÍKOVÁ (2006), BALATKA (2007), KALVODA (2007), BALATKA and KALVODA (2008 and 2010).

AN OUTLINE OF GEOLOGICAL AND MORPHOLOGICAL SETTINGS

The Sázava River rises as the Stržský potok Brook east from Nová Huť at 757 m a.s.l. This country forms part of the Žďárské vrchy Hills in the Hornosvratecká vrchovina Highland. After its 225 km long course, the Sázava River empties into the Vltava River in Davle (Fig. 1), where the water level is at 200 m (storage level of the Vrané dam), whereas the original level of the flowing river was at 196 m here. In the period 1931 – 1960, its average flow at its mouth was 25.5 m$^3$s$^{-1}$. In the period 1961 – 2005, its average flow in Zruč nad Sázavou was 9.4 m$^3$s$^{-1}$ and in Nespeky 20.8 m$^3$s$^{-1}$. The Sázava River drains a catchment area of 4 349 km$^2$.

The Sázava valley and its adjacent area are underlain by several geological units of the Bohemian Massif (Fig. 2). The main unit is the Bohemian intermontane bloc (Variscan region) in the area of the Vltava-Danube uplands (Moldanubicum). This is the most ancient and stable part of the Bohemian Massif which, with the exception of its northern and south-eastern marginal parts, was not affected by sea transgression from the Early Palaeozoic (BUDAY et al. 1961). The Moldanubic series of pre-Assynthian or Assynthian age represents a complex of deep metamorphosed and locally

Fig. 2 Main regional geological units in the Sázava catchment and neighbouring regions (modified after MISAR et al. 1983, KLOMINSKY et al. 1994)

Key: 1 – Moldanubicum area (Bohemian Moldanubic rocks): mica shists, paragneisses, migmatites, orthogneisses, amphibolites, erlans and quartzites; 2 – Moldanubic Pluton: granites; 3 – Central Bohemian Pluton: granites, granodiorites, tonalites and quartz diorites; 4 – Island zone (metamorphosed and migmatized Upper-Proterozoic and Lower-Palaeozoic rocks): slates, hornstones, metagraywackes and quartzites; 5 – Kutná Hora crystalline complex: two-mica gneisses and mica shists with amphibolites, erlans, skarns, orthogneisses and migmatites; 6 – Central Bohemian unit: 6a – Barrandian Proterozoic: graywackes, siltstones, claystones and vulcanites; 6b – Upper-Proterozoic Jilové belt: weakly metamorphosed rhyolites, rhyodacites, andesites, basalts and basaltoids; 6c – Barrandian Lower Palaeozoic: sandstones, conglomerates, graywackes, slates, quartzites and vulcanites (rhyolites, basalts and tuffs); 7 – Permian and Carboniferous rocks of the Blanice Furrow: breccias, conglomerates, sandstones, arcoses, siltstones and claystones; 8 – Upper Cretaceous (Cenomanian, Turonian): sandstones, marls and siltstones
strongly migmatised rocks. Another structural unit of the Moldanubicum is the younger complex of granitoid massifs, encircled by bodies of cordieritic gneisses and Variscan migmatises. The Moldanubic (Cadomian) folding caused a significant consolidation of the area. This tectogenesis was followed by uplift and a long hiatus during which deep denudation occurred.

During the Variscan period this area was affected by strong deep magmatism which caused faults and overthrusts and frequent Variscan intrusions, together with cordieritic and injection aureoles. In the Asturian Stage at the end of the Variscan tectogenesis the Bla-nice furrow trough was formed, similarly to a stepped structure of other fault structures of N – S orientation. The presence of granitoid cobbles in sediments of the Český Brod Permian indicates intensive denudation of the thick pluton uppermost layer. During the Upper Permian, Triassic, Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous, the Moldanubic area was dry land, and the Upper Cretaceous transgression affected only the N – E margin of this area. This period of tectonic stability saw the development of planation surfaces. A mantle of lateritic and kaolinic weathering products was developing up to the beginning of the Oligocene, under warm and in some periods wet climate conditions (MAL-KOVSKÝ 1979). Up to the end of the Palaeogene, streams ran through shallow, wide valeshaped low gradient valleys.

The area of the Kutná Hora crystalline complex, covering northern part of the Sázava middle course, differs from the Moldanubic crystalline complex by its weakes metamorphosis; the main rocks are orthogneisses, paragneisses and mica schists (MÜLLER et al. 2002). The most important tectonic unit in the study area – the Permian-Carboniferous Blanice Furrow – has been strongly affected by denudation, so that its initially continuous sedimentary filling has been preserved, with the exception of that of the Kostelec nad Černými lesy area, mainly in tectonically clinched blocks. The Upper Cretaceous sea probably transgressed to the south of the present middle Sázava valley near the town of Sázava. This transgression entered from the north through a tectonic depression along the Kouřim fault, i.e. E from the uplifted territory of the Permian in the Blanice Furrow (Fig. 2).

From the upper Tertiary period onwards, there are preserved in the middle Sázava catchment denudation relics of Neogene sediments of limnic-fluvial origin. These sediments are classified as of Lower Miocene age (Acquinian – Burdigal; MALKOVSKÝ 1975 and 1979). The geological map of the Czech Republic 1:50 000, sheet 13 – 31 Říčany (KODYM et al. 1993) classifies localities near Sázava town as “relics of fluvial gravels” which are assigned to the Pliocene. Neotectonic movements affected the Sázava basin, especially as folds and arch uplifts, i.e. mostly as joint structures of syncline and anticline type of different amplitudes (MOSCHE-LESOVÁ 1930). Horst-type fault structures do

---

**Fig. 3** The Sázava bouldary bed in the trough valley in the Melechov granite massif in a zone of a pronounced level gradient step
not occur frequently, although some are relatively pronounced (Velký Blaník, Malý Blaník and Melechov). The main fault structure is the pronounced N – S structure of the Kouřim fault, which continues to the south to the Blaníce Furrow. It is a Variscan morphostructure, on which movements continued repeatedly during the Upper Cretaceous as well as during the neotectonic period. The neotectonic uplift of the Černokostelecká pahorkatina Upland against the Kouřimská tabule Table has generally exceeded 100 m.

The Sázava valley between the neighbourhood of Světlá nad Sázavou and the mouth of the Vlkanečský potok Brook, near Pyskočely (between river kilometres 150.0 and 50.0) is excavated into rocks of the Moldanubic crystalline complex. Between Světlá nad Sázavou (river kilometre 143.2) and the southern neighbourhood of Vilémovice (river kilometre 134.8) the river crosses the Melechov massif (Fig. 3) which is an outcrop of the central Moldanubic Pluton. This markedly concentric structured massif of Variscan age is deeply rooted (15 – 17 km) and formed of four basic types of granite: Lipnice, Kouty, Melechov and Stvořidla granites (PROCHÁZKA and MLČOCH 1998). The river crosses all these granite types, so that the asymmetrically deep, but largely open valley has a trough-like character. From the geomorphological point of view, the main types are the Melechov and the Stvořidla granites which represent the stock of the elliptically-shaped massif. They form the large Melechov ridge (709 m) and condition the segment of an increased level gradient at Stvořidla.

Between Pyskočely and Žampach (river kilometres 50.0 – 9.8), the Sázava valley is initiated into granitoids of the Central Bohemian Pluton of Variscan age. Granites, granodiorites, tonalities, diorites accompanied by abundant vein rocks (aplites, pegmatites, vein granites, porphyrs, lamprophyres, etc.) are represented there. Between Samechov and Zlenice, the valley cuts through bodies of Proterozoic metabasites comprising amphibolitic slates, basalts and amphibolitic porphyrites. These bodies, together with hornstones, meta-greywackes and meta-conglomerates, form part of the metamorphosed islands representing denudational remnants mainly of the Upper Proterozoic. The island zone also includes the so-called Upper–Proterozoic Jílové belt (DUDEK in MISÁŘ et al. 1983), traversed by the Sázava between Žampach and Pikovice (river kilometres 9.8 – 4.1). They are weakly metamorphised rhyolites, rhyodacites, andesites, basalts and basaltoids. In these very resistant rocks, the river has formed a closed erosional valley of an almost

Fig. 4 Part of an abandoned Sázava valley near Chabeřice filled by an up to 25 m thick accumulation of sediments of terrace III
The Sázava valley is characterised by remarkable morphological characters (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010): a) segments with a closed transverse profile follow those with enlarged to vale-like parts (Fig. 4); b) striking changes in valley directions are conditioned morphostructurally as well as by evolution; c) straight valley segments are rare, the river has a markedly undulated course with frequent incised bends and meanders; d) different resistance of rocks has not manifested in gradient conditions of the course even in the significantly erosional segments of the long middle course; e) the highest planation surfaces of etchplain and pediplain types are mostly situated at 140 – 190 m above the river. Lower levels of denudation plateaux of mostly smaller dimensions are situated at relative heights of 90 to 130 m. They are developed at two to three height levels in a pre-Quaternary period.

### DISCUSSION OF CONCEPTS ON THE UPPER TERTIARY DRAINAGE OF THE SÁZAVA CATCHMENT

Like the majority of Czech rivers, in the Upper Tertiary the Sázava catchment drained in different directions to that at present. To determine its course, morphostructural conditions and the localities of fluvial-lacustrine sediments of Neogene age are essential. These deposits are preserved in watershed areas, i.e. in places relatively least affected by younger erosion and denudation processes. The relics of probably Lower Miocene sediments (MALKOVSKÝ 1975 and 1979) that mainly occur in only two areas, far apart, only provide a limited basis for deciphering the Upper Tertiary evolution of the river network in the Sázava catchment. A period of about 24 to 16 million years has elapsed since the deposition of the Upper Tertiary sediments (Fig. 5), during which differentiated neotectonic movements have brought about strong erosional-denudational processes. They have led not only to the removal of the weathered cover and of part of the rock substrate, but also of part of the Neogene sediments themselves. The former Palaeogene planation surface, with a mantle of fossil weathered materials, was transformed into the younger (Neogene) relief of etchplain or pediment type. In addition, younger tectonic movements have laid the foundation of the morphological variability of landscape.

In principle, two different opinions exist on the direction of the Sázava drainage in the Neogene – the older one represented mainly by DANEŠ (1913) and NOVÁK (1930 and 1932), and a younger one represented by MALKOVSKÝ (1975, 1976 and 1979) or ČILEK et al. (2004). The earlier opinion on the Tertiary drainage of the middle and lower Sázava course, advocated by DANEŠ (1913) and described in detail by NOVÁK (1930 and 1932), was based on analysis of the drainage network ground plan, on studies of geomorphological conditions and on the known occurrences of Tertiary sediments, including relict gravels. The presumed drainage to the north was based on the generally northwards inclination of the surface of the ground on the river left bank, together with prevailing meridian directions of the principal left-bank Sázava tributaries, mainly of the Želivka River and the Blanice River. According to NOVÁK (1932), the individual streams of the middle Sázava catchment were orientated towards the north in the Upper Tertiary – the upper Sázava from Švétla nad Sázavou (designated as the Světelská řeka River), the Želivka River (designated as the Řeplická řeka River) and the Blanice River (designated as the Nechebská řeka River).

The course of the Tertiary upper Sázava from Švétla nad Sázavou was marked approximately by the present course of the lower Sázavka River (but in the opposite direction to Leština u Světě and further via the nearby Sázava – Labe watershed to Nová Ves u Leštiny. From here it continued via the Klejnary – Doubra wa watershed and Vlkaneč to the present Čáslavská kotlina Basin. The long upper course of the Sázavka in an ESE – WNW direction (Jiříkovský potok Brook) was the principal (right-side) affluent of this river. The proposed course of the so-called Světelská řeka River is to some degree supported by localities of fluvial-lacustrine gravels and sands and clays of Tertiary age (geological map 1:50 000, 13 – 43 Golčův Jeníkov). These are occurrences SE of Bačkov (about 530 m), in the neighbourhood of Leština u Světě in a watershed depression at about 470 m) and near Kozholody (at about 415 m). In addition, scattered cobbles occur here near the Sázavka River (at about 512 m), NE of Leština u Světě (above
According to NOVÁK (1932), the Tertiary Želivka was orientated in the same direction as the Řeplická řeka River across the present Želivka-Sázava watershed, near Ledeč nad Sázavou, i.e. continuing the SN valley-orientation between Ježov and the SW neighbourhood of Kožlí. This river flowed along the present valley to Vlastějovice and then to Bohdanč, Řeplice, a close-by watershed depression with scattered quartz gravels at 489 m, then to the Kutnohorská plošina Plateau and via Červené Janovice to the present Čáslavská kotlina Basin and the Central Labe area.

The Neogene Blanice, like Nečehbská řeka River (NOVÁK 1932), flowed from the site its present mouth to north in the direction of the younger Sázava valley towards the town of Sázava (i.e. it followed the course of the Blanická brázd Furrow). It then continued to a pronounced wedge-shaped promontory of a morphostructural depression with Cretaceous sediments at the Kouřim fault to the Kutnohorská plošina Plateau to the present Central Labe area. Besides is segment of the Sázava valley, a relic of the Tertiary Blanice is the present Kouřimka – Vavřinec and its left-bank tributary from near Smrk, which is 5 km NE from the Sázava near Samopše. Gravel relics of the Nechybská řeka River in the neighbourhood of Radlice and Krymlov on the eastern margin of the Černokostelecká pahorkatina Upland (NOVÁK 1930), are probably broken Permian Carboniferous conglomerates and breccias. During the subsequent evolution, probably during the initial process of reunification of the Sázava catchment, the Želivka and the Blanice merged possibly towards the present Sázava valley in the segment Vlastějovice – the Blanice mouth.

The possible drainage of the lower Sázava catchment towards the north might also be supported by meridian orientations of larger left-bank Sázava tributaries, i.e. the Benešovský, the Konopištěský and the Janovický Brooks. It is remarkable that these streams flow opposite on the right Sázava bank: the Benešovský potok Brook (possibly together with the Konopištěský potok Brook) has the Mnichovka; the Konopištěský potok Brook has the Křivoveský potok Brook and the Janovický potok Brook the Čakovický (the Kamenický) potok Brook. These similar brooks are always connected by a Sázava valley segment of a similar direction: the Benešovský potok Brook and the Mnichovka Brook are connected by the Sázava valley between Čerčany and Zlenice, the Konopištěský and the Křivoveský potok Brooks by the Sázava valley between Poříči nad Sázavou and Nespeký, the Janovický and the Čakovický potok Brooks are connected by the Sázava valley between Týnec nad Sázavou and Zbořený Kostelec.
The right-bank tributaries of the lower Sázava course now arise in the watershed area between the Sázava River and the Vltava River, still in the area of the Středočeská (the Benešovská) pahorkatina Upland. The possibility that northward drainage occurred in the lower Sázava course is also supported by occurrence of Neogene sediments on the watershed near Jesenice. Here thick sands fill old valleys (furrows) at a relative height of up to 175 m above the Sázava level. A change of drainage could have resulted from anticlinal uplift of the watershed area (Fig. 1) and to a step (synclinal) fold in the zone of the present Sázava valley during the Upper Miocene to Pliocene.

On the basis of this concept, the Sázava catchment was formed during the Neogene by several partial catchments: apart from the uppermost course with presumed drainage to the Oslava River, it included the Světelská Sázava, the Želivka, the Blanice and the catchment of the lower course. Although there was a marked watershed on the rising morphostructure of the Melechov and the Žebrákovský kopec Hill between the Světelská Sázava and the Želivka, the watershed between the Neogene Želivka and the Blanice was probably less morphologically distinctive (Fig. 2). The higher relief of the NE promontory of the Benešovská pahorkatina Upland, between the catchments of the Blanice and the lower course, was locally of highland character and lacked the more important left-bank affluents. The merging of the catchments of individual Tertiary streams in the Sázava catchment at its present extent occurred by progressive evolution of the Sázava valley (Novák 1932). First, the Želivka and the Blanice should have merged, than the Světelská Sázava joined them across the barrier of a lower marginal part of Melechov. During the final stage, the former Sázava turned near the town of the same name to the west (Fig. 7) into the present direction of its lower course.

According to Malkovský (1975 and 1979), the Sázava already flowed during the Lower Miocene (Acquitanian to Burdigal) from the neighbourhood of Ledeč nad Sázavou in its present direction to the west. From its later confluence with the Vltava, it continued to Klinice and through the Berounka catchment to the NW against the direction of the present river to the Křivoklát region. If the opinion of Malkovský (1975 and 1979) is accepted on Neogene drainage of the substantial part of the Sázava catchment to the lake of the North Bohemian basin, it is possible to determine the absolute height position of the area of Neogene sediments as a guide to assessing of the role of younger tectonic movements. On the condition that the level of the North Bohemian lake basin was situated at an altitude of 50 m in the Lower Miocene, the altitude of the relief of Neogene sediments near Sázava town (with a reconstructed course length of about 180 km) would be about 200 m (with a mean gradient of 0.8 %), or 160 m (gradient of 0.6 %).

The absolute height of the surface of Miocene deposits in the neighbourhood of Ledeč nad Sázavou (Figs. 5 and 6) can be estimated...
at 250 m (with a mean gradient of 0.8 ‰), or 200 m (gradient 0.6 ‰). In the case of the level of the lake in the North Bohemian basin at 100 m a. s. l., the data mentioned above would be 50 m higher. The present relative height of the surface of Miocene sediments near Sázava town reaches a maximum of 118 m (403 m a. s. l.) and near Ledeč nad Sázavou 135 m (486 m a. s. l.). The presumed elevation of sediments makes it clear that during younger periods of the Cenozoic, the areas of Neogene sediments must have been uplifted by approximately 150 – 190 m (near the town of Sázava), or by 190 – 240 m (near Ledeč nad Sázavou), and that the altitude of the North Bohemian lake basin must have been about 100 m.

Support for Malkovský’s ideas comes from relative position of sediments near Ledeč nad Sázavou and those near the Sázava town, and partially also to those at the Klínec locality. Results of research into heavy minerals and geochemical characteristics of quartz in Neogene fluvial sediments near Rakovník indicate that the Českomoravská vrchovina Highland could have been the source area of these sediments (CÍLEK et al. 2004). Nevertheless, with the exception of the two known areas of occurrence of possible Lower Miocene sediments (MALKOVSKÝ 1975 and 1979), no corresponding sediments have been found along the middle and lower Sázava valley.

The Miocene water streams flowed mostly through shallow vale-shape valleys and deposited the local transported material always in the nearest lake depressions entered. It is therefore difficult to imagine that elasic sediments might, given such a negligible bed gradient, be transported from the Sázava catchment as far as to the Rakovník region. In addition, the materials found in the Rakovník region might also originate from the upper Mastník course catchment. This catchment generally reaches to the Moldanubic paragneisses area in the so-called watershed of the Central Bohemian sill in the Votická vrchovina Highland. It is possible that the Sázava Neogene sediments (cf. KODYM et al. 1993, MÜLLER et al. 1993) are of Upper Miocene to Pliocene age. If they are Pliocene, then the localities could not be palaeohydrologically related to the lake in the Moštecká pánev Basin.

**EVOLUTION OF THE SÁZAVA VALLEY IN THE LATE CENOZOIC**

The long period after the sedimentation of Lower Miocene, including the Middle and Upper Miocene and the Pliocene, was characterised by an alteration of periods of tectonic stability and activity. At times of tectonic stability, younger planation surfaces of mainly local
Significance were formed; they are preserved locally at several height levels (Fig. 8). Low gradient streams flowed through shallow valeshaped valleys in these areas. This also corresponded to a small height articulation of the relief and a markedly lower height position above the sea level during older periods.

With several minor exceptions, no more important fluvial or lacustrine sediments have been preserved from this time period in the Sázava catchment. These exceptions are two small occurrences of fluvial sediments, one above the Smrčno trough near Vadin at 442 m (47 m above the river) and a second near Radvanice in the proximity of Sázava town at 387 m (101 m above the river). The Vadin locality has yielded dispersed gravels. It is situated 30 – 50 m below the level of the highest surface of Miocene deposits and the occurrence near Radvanice at only 17 m. Both localities are probably of pre-Quaternary (probably Pliocene) age and are situated in a vale-shaped depression immediately above the proper Sázava valley cutting. At the time of their origin, the Sázava River apparently flowed in the same direction as today.

The bottom of a Pliocene (?) vale-shaped valley was deepened by about 50 – 80 m to the level of the Miocene sediments’ surface in the upper part of the study reach. Near the town of Sázava it was deepened by about 50 m and in the Sázava – Vltava confluence area by about 60 m. The Pliocene valley was deepened mostly by 90 – 100 m into remodelled and tectonically disturbed relics of the Palaeogene planation surface upstream from the Melechov trough (Fig. 3), with its maximum near Světlá nad Sázavou – at over 150 m. The highest planation surface here is at about 550 m a. s. l. In the central Sázava area, the Pliocene valley is deepened by about 140 m in the neighbourhood of Ledeč nad Sázavou (the flat top of Melechov at 713 m a. s. l. is 300 m above the bottom of the Pliocene valley), in the area of Český Šternberk by 110 – 130 m (the highest planation surface at 480 – 500 m a. s. l.) and near Chocerady by approximately 115 – 145 m (planation surface at 455 – 485 m a. s. l., Fig. 8). In the lower Sázava course near Jílové (Fig. 9) the Pliocene valley is deepened by about 140 m (planation surface at 499 m a. s. l.) and at the Vltava downstream from the Sázava mouth by about 90 m (the ridge plateau „Na rovném” occurs at 388 m a. s. l.).

Among the highest occurrences of planation surfaces mentioned of regional character (probably relics of a Palaeogene planation surface, or of an Upper Miocene planation), lower
plantation surfaces (denudation plateaux) of rather local importance rising to several height levels are preserved (Figs. 6 and 10). The highest plateaux are situated 20 – 40 m above the presumed level of the surface of Miocene sediments. Other planation surfaces follow, with a slight height variation, the level of Miocene deposits and the lowest plateaux are situated on the presumed level of the surface of probably Pliocene sediments. The location and altitude of plateau surfaces (with the exception of some highest levels) are depicted by the long profile of the terraces (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010). The occurrences of plateau surfaces shown form levels, mainly in relation to Lower Miocene (?) sediments and to a Pliocene level. The lowest plateaux in the vale-shaped pre-Quaternary depression can be considered, together with the localities of the nearest higher level, as typical valley pediments.

It is difficult to determine the impact of neotectonic movements on the present height position of the planation surfaces. The opinion of MOSCHELESOVA (1930) on the Tertiary origin of the Sázava valley in the axis of a step fold (syncline) depression is interesting. There is no doubt about the overall uplift of the region in the Upper Miocene and in the Pliocene. The time classification of the younger stages of Saxon tectonics is however difficult in the Sázava catchment. This is a tectonically stable area of the Bohemian Massif (compare MALKOVSKÝ 1975, 1976 and 1979) comprising the Moldanubic crystalline complex and on Variscan plutons, with almost no younger covering sediments.

The origin of Neogene sediments in the Sázava catchment was probably conditioned by local tectonic depressions during the Savian Stage and also by a lower geomorphological resistance of rocks. The Middle and the greater part of the Upper Miocene period was characterised here by tectonic stability, which is a substantial condition for the evolution of planation surfaces. The end of the Upper Miocene and the Pliocene were characterised by higher intensity of neotectonic movements leading, as in other areas of the Czech Labe catchment, to the development of the regional river network, which was already similar to that at present (Figs. 1 and 2). The river network was unified by tectonic movements and by selectively orientated destructive processes probably as early as at the end of the Miocene, because relics of Pliocene sediments are developed in valleys of the present streams.

The granular character of the Pliocene fluvial sediments is also an indication of the great height variation of the relief in the Pliocene. This granularity varies locally only little from the Quaternary deposits. The evolution of the Sázava to its present course direction was thus
established in the Pliocene, and develops since the Upper Miocene probably by processes of progressive unification of partial catchments.

A total seven of accumulation terraces and two other subsidiary levels of erosional or erosional-accumulational origin in the Sázava valley during the progressive deepening during the Quaternary were proposed (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010; Tab. 1). In the geomorphological sense, the terraces correspond genetically to an alternation of erosional and accumulational stages of the valley evolution which were conditioned climatically (by alternating glacial and interglacial periods) and tectonically (by the uplift of the Bohemian Massif). The structure of river terraces is well documented by transverse profiles through the Sázava valley and by the longitudinal profile through terraces (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010). The three highest terraces (I, II, III) have a regular surface gradient downvalley, whereas the base of terrace III ends on a gradient step in the Melechov massif. The gradient step, probably together with neotectonic movements, results in the exceptionally thick accumulation of terrace III sediments (up to 25 m, Fig. 4), the base of which is only about 3 – 5 m above the present level of the river and occasionally is at the level of the river. It means that during deep erosion, following the accumulation stage of terrace II, the valley was incised in one part of its middle course by 35 – 38 m, i.e. during most of the erosional periods of the Pleistocene. This very low position of rock substrate, recorded along a 30 km long segment, can be explained by probable relative closing of the concerned area, simultaneously with slight uplift of the granite Melechov massif (Fig. 3). This tectonic depression was approximately 15 – 20 m.

Aggradations of terrace III sediments over the larger area of Zruč nad Sázavou caused an important geomorphological process (Fig. 11). After the maximum level of aggradation was reached, in several places, the bed material was retransported to nearby valleys of affluents. In such abandoned valley segments (the longest up to 3 km) the accumulation filling is preserved at its full thickness, or it is locally reduced to the level of the terrace IIIb surface. Periglacial conditions and the occurrence of a gradient step are indicated by coarser deposits (gravels) only at the terrace base and by the addition of debris boulders in the otherwise sand-dominated sediments. Fine-grained deposits recorded normal gradient conditions and probably also slight faulting of the sedimentation area. Analysis of the terrace system proved the extraordinary significance of the abandoned valley segments for the reconstruction of the river terraces course in the long profile, as well as for the understanding of the valley evolution stages.

For a long segment of the middle Sázava course (80 km) downstream, the aggradation terrace III lacks important localities, which do not allow a precise reconstruction of the course and mainly of the base. Besides the highest level IIIa (with its surface at about 30 m above the present river), there was formed in the accumulation of terrace III during the valley deepening a lower erosion-accumulation terrace IIIb (with its surface 6 – 8 m lower) in the area of Zruč nad Sázavou (Tab. 1). In the fol-
Following segment of the middle course, this terrace occurs at isolated localities which appear to be a separate terrace level. The terraces IV and V on the middle course are aligned, in general, parallel to the present river level and only locally are there a slight divergence.

The second pronounced step in the Sázava level gradient curve is in the lowest course. It was formed by retrogressive erosion from the Vltava valley during erosional stages during the Middle and Upper Pleistocene. The beginning of the gradient step appears in a slighter form at first at the base of terrace III, whereas its surface still shows a quite regular form. The reach of the Sázava middle course with a remarkably normal gradient (with smaller anomalies only downstream from the Melechov massif) is found in a higher position (by about 40 m) related to the Vltava valley bottom. The Sázava middle course reach, between both gradient steps, has not been more significantly deepened since the older period of the Early Saalian glacial.

Geomorphological analysis of the Sázava valley form has revealed a different morphographical character and course of valley segments, conditioned by the Quaternary evolution of the valley (compare Figs. 10 and 12). At the beginning of the Middle Pleistocene, i.e. in the period of the formation of terraces I and II, the valley still locally retained its Pliocene form. This had a vale transverse profile, although it was locally markedly narrowed. The depth of a younger pronounced valley cutting is shown by the position of the valley margin in relatively rare, straight segments of the Sázava valley. Between Havlíčkův Brod and the western neighbourhood of Světlá nad Sázavou, the valley margin occurs at a constant altitude of about 425 m (20 – 40 m above the river level), between Ledeč nad Sázavou and Zruč nad Sázavou, it is at 425 m (83 m relative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planation surface, denudation plateau</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>70 – 97</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niveau A Bojiště</td>
<td>88/?</td>
<td>135/120</td>
<td>113/(110)</td>
<td>118-112/(105)</td>
<td>169/146</td>
<td>144/(123)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>115/110</td>
<td>105/103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niveau B Radvanice</td>
<td>47/?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>101/(90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley edge</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>77 – 90</td>
<td>105 – 155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sřečochov Terrace Ia</td>
<td>32/?</td>
<td>(63)/?</td>
<td>62/54</td>
<td>(67)/?</td>
<td>(66)/?</td>
<td>I A</td>
<td>(105)/(91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hřezdmonice Terrace Ib</td>
<td>(47)/?</td>
<td>47/45</td>
<td>(54)/?</td>
<td>(53)/?</td>
<td></td>
<td>IB</td>
<td>(95)/?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesky Sternberk Terrace II</td>
<td>(13)/?</td>
<td>36/31</td>
<td>39/23?</td>
<td>(37)/(22)</td>
<td>(39)/?</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>87/73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaberce Terrace IIIa</td>
<td>7/?</td>
<td>29/24</td>
<td>31/4</td>
<td>31/3</td>
<td>(32)/?</td>
<td>IIIA</td>
<td>75/(67 – 72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buda Terrace IIIb</td>
<td>24/4</td>
<td>24/3</td>
<td>21/11</td>
<td>(25)/?</td>
<td></td>
<td>IIIIB</td>
<td>68/53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Týnec Terrace IV</td>
<td>13/(5)</td>
<td>17/0 – 7</td>
<td>15/3</td>
<td>15/(11)</td>
<td>19/15</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>55/43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Městečko Terrace V</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>9/2 – 0</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>9/2 – 0</td>
<td>11/6</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>(33)/(25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poříčí Terrace VI</td>
<td>4/-1 až – 3</td>
<td>4/0 až – 4</td>
<td>5/1</td>
<td>6/0 až – 4</td>
<td>7/2 až – 3</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>21/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pikovice Terrace VII</td>
<td>?/-3</td>
<td>?/4</td>
<td>?/4</td>
<td>?/4</td>
<td>?/2 až – 3</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>17/-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1 Neogene sediments and Quaternary terraces of the Sázava River in the central part of the Bohemian Massif (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010)

Explanations: 115/110 – surface/base in metres above river level, (37)/(22) – approximate relative height after longitudinal profile of the terraces
height) and at 400 m (70 m relative height), near Český Šternberk at 375 – 390 m (75 – 90 m relative height), in the neighbourhood of Sázava town at 350 – 370 m (60 – 85 m of relative height), near Poříčí nad Sázavou at 325 – 350 m (65 – 90 m of relative height) and near Luka pod Medníkem it is at 280 m (70 m relative height, Fig. 9). The highest position of the valley margin corresponds to the depth of the valley deepening since the beginning of the Quaternary; however, lower data evidence from lower in the valley in general indicates the intensity of the Sázava valley erosion during as late as the Middle Pleistocene.

In spite of its predominantly erosional character, individual segments of the Sázava valley includes various geomorphological differences which are conditioned by evolution during different morphostructural environments. The present Sázava valley is vale-shaped in several reaches, mainly between Havlíčkův Brod and Světlá nad Sázavou, between Březina and Holšice, near Chocerady and Hveždonic. Locally small valley basins were formed in larger valley bends to meanders. They are visibly asymmetrical in their transverse profile (e.g. near Károv, the town of Sázava, Poříčí nad Sázavou and Týnec nad Sázavou, Fig. 13). In addition, the straight reach, between Lštění and Čerčany, has the form of an asymmetrical furrow. Narrowed erosional valley segments were deepened by the river in the Melechov trough, between the mouth of the Blanice and the Sázava town, between the mouth of the Propast Brook and Chocerady, downstream of Hveždonic and in the neighbourhood of Kamenný Přívoz. The most pronounced trough–shaped segment, with rock walls, was formed in the lowest Sázava course in the resistant rocks of the Jílové belt (Fig. 9). Immediately before its confluence with the Vltava, the Sázava has a narrow trough to canyon-shape valley, the margin of which is under the lowered surface of terrace III (Fig. 14).

Some Sázava valley reaches correspond in their alignements to the Tertiary directions of streams which was especially noted by NOVÁK (1932). The Sázava valley that is orientated SW between Havlíčkův Brod and Světlá nad Sázavou could correspond to the Tertiary course of the upper Sázava. The Sázava valley between Ledeč nad Sázavou and Vlastějovice roughly follows the assumed course of the Neogene Želivka; the segment from the confluence with the Blanice to Sázava town follows the eastern side of the course of the Blanice furrow. This is a relic of the course of the Tertiary Blanice. The straight part of the Sázava valley between Lštění and Poříčí nad Sázavou could correspond to the course of the Tertiary Benešovský (and the Konopišťský?) Brook to the present Mnichovka, but in the opposite direction to the present courses. The Sázava valley segment between Týnec nad Sázavou and Zbořený Kostelec, connecting the localities of the confluences of the Janovický and the Kamenický Brooks with the Sázava, could correspond to its course to the Tertiary Janovický potok Brook course to the Čakovický (the Kamenický) potok Brook.

Another important problem in the Sázava catchment is the question of the origin and development of the characteristic valley meanders and bends (Figs. 7 and 9). Many of these features are developed in the neighbourhood of Ledeč nad Sázavou, downstream to Sázava town, and the most perfect forms of valley meanders are developed between the Blanice...
mouth and Sázava town. The morphometric characteristics and parameters of selected Sázava meanders in the middle Sázava course were studied by PILECKÁ (1997). The basic question she addressed was why a such high number of meanders and bends (about 20) formed in the middle course of the Sázava, although there are only a few in the lower course (6). Equally these features are rare in the upper course mainly between Havlíčkův Brod and the neighbourhood of Světlá nad Sázavou (2). It might point to a possible causal relationship of the river valley evolution since the beginning of the Upper Tertiary. The segment upstream from the Melechov trough has a relatively ancient character, with only a low intensity of deep and lateral erosion in the Quaternary. On the contrary, the meandering middle course downstream of the trough underwent a quite different evolution. The Sázava valley meanders were probably formed there as unconstrained bends in a large and slightly inclined valley bottom during the Pliocene and the earliest Quaternary, i.e. before the beginning of erosional deepening of the valley which occurred mainly during erosional stages from terrace I to terrace III (Tab. 1).

The deepest valley cutting, and thus the maximum evolution of valley bends, occurred mainly in the erosional stage between the surface of terrace II and the base of terrace III, when the Sázava valley was deepened by nearly 40 m. This represents the significantly greatest extent of stage-by-stage deep erosion during the whole Quaternary. After the huge accumulation of sediments of terrace III, which to the greatest thickness filled in the concave parts of bends and meanders (re-deepened furrows), there followed in the next erosional stage a progressive removal of sediments, the formation of lower terraces and erosional slopes. The relatively rapid development of the valley meanders occurred (with several exceptions) by the absence of terraces in their convex parts. On the contrary, in cores of the open bends, small remnants of terrace III and mainly of terraces V and VI formed (Figs. 12 and 13). They are, however, only marginal remnants of the upper parts of the original terrace accumulations. The maximum thickness of sediments

---

**Fig. 12** Transverse profile of the Sázava valley in the reach between river kilometres 64.4 and 61.2
Explanations are given in Fig. 6
in the concave parts of meanders, i.e. at the foot of erosional slopes, were largely reduced and removed by the river during erosional periods between accumulations of respective terraces.

**CORRELATION OF LATE CENOZOIC SEDIMENTS AND THE SÁZAVA VALLEY EVOLUTION WITH THE VLTAVA AND THE LABE TERRACE STRATIGRAPHICAL SYSTEM**

Erosional-denudational relief of an upland and highland character, developed on rocks mainly of the Moldanubic crystalline system and on deep volcanic rocks, dominates in the Sázava catchment (Figs. 4 and 8). The question of the relative age of the Sázava terraces system was determined by applying the reconstructed course of river terraces in the long profile and by their correlation with the terrace system of the Vltava, with which the Sázava is confluent (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010). This correlation of the terrace systems of the Sázava and the Vltava is complicated by a marked step in the river-level gradient in the lowest part of the Sázava course. Here, in an erosional valley segment, the majority of terrace levels are either not preserved, or they only occur as denudational fragments with markedly incomplete thicknesses of sediments.

The first attempt at time classification of the lowest Sázava terraces by PUFFER (1930) classifies the two highest levels (at relative heights of about 130 m and 70 – 80 m above the river) in the Miocene, the river terrace 30 – 40 m above the river level is considered as Pliocene and the two lowest steps, 6 – 10 m and 2 – 3 m above the river, should be Pleistocene (or deluvial). According to ENGELMANN (1938), terraces I and O on the lower Sázava course are of Mindelian age and terrace U relates to the Rissian Stage. NOVÁK (1932) identified the highest levels of river accumulation terraces as Neogene and the lower levels in the river valley as Quaternary.

BALATKA and SLÁDEK (1962) attempted to classify the Sázava terrace localities into the Quaternary stratigraphical system. The oldest Sázava fluvial sediments were classified on the respective sheets of the Geological Map of the Czech Republic 1:50 000 as Neogene, with the terrace deposits correlated either partially to individual glacials (on the lower course), or generally into the respective Pleistocene subseries (Lower, Middle, Upper Pleistocene) and possibly, also on the basis of their height position (upper and lower terrace). Stratigraphical classification of cartographically delimited localities of fluvial sediments in a larger area of the Sázava and Vltava confluence was undertaken by STRAKA (in MAŠEK et al. 1984) on the basis of the concept by ZÁRUBA et al. (1977).

In this paper, the stratigraphical classification of the Sázava terraces system is based on studies by ZÁRUBA-PFEFFERMANN (1943) and mainly those by ZÁRUBA et al. (1977). These authors classified the Vltava terrace system, relating them to significant Quaternary localities in the valleys of other Czech streams, into the Alpine and North-German stratigraphical system. In his comparative study of terrace systems of some important Czech rivers (but...
not including the Sázava and the South Bohemia streams) based both on older authors and on his own research, TYRÁČEK (2001) classified terrace levels and fluvial sediments generally into the main glacial of the Quaternary and into the Pliocene and the Miocene. Other papers by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) and TYRÁČEK and HAVLÍČEK (2009) attempted to correlate the Vltava-Labe terrace system with the West-European stratigraphical scheme. The stratigraphical classification of the Sázava terrace system proposed here (Tab. 2) is based on the conclusions arising from the studies presented above.

The proposed equation of the Sázava terraces with the Vltava and Labe terrace system respects the present state and the unsolved problems of chronostratigraphical classification of fluvial and fluvial-lacustrine sediments in the catchment of these Czech streams. Stratigraphical classification of upper terraces, beginning with the Kralupy Terrace IIIA on the Vltava, is diametrically different when comparing the papers by ZÁRUBA et al. (1977) and that by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004). Discordance in the classification of river terraces or fluvial sediments is also evident when compared to their stratigraphical classification in the monograph by SÍBRAVA (1972). For example, in the main terrace of the Labe system in the České středohoří Mountains, i.e. the Neštěmice Terrace (SÍBRAVA 1972) which is equivalent to the Straškov (III) Terrace in the Říp area and of the Vltava terrace IIIA and IIIB (ZÁRUBA et al. 1977), the older accumulation should fall into the Menapian (Günzian) Stage, whereas the younger accumulation is classified as Elsterian 1. TYRÁČEK et al. (2004), the Kralupy Terrace (IIIA) equate it to the Cromerian Complex C, and the Vinohrady Terrace (IIIB) to the Elsterian.

The oldest terrace of the Vltava system (IA, Lysolaje) is classified by ZÁRUBA et al. (1977) as Brüggenian (Donauan), and by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) as Cromerian A. In the SÍBRAVA (1972) system, the corresponding oldest terrace, at a relative height of 100 – 150 m, including finds of Archidiskodon sp. remnants in Ústí nad Labem (Stříbrnice Terrace), is equated to the Praetiglian Stage (Donau), whilst TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) relate it to the „Tiglian C Substage“. The Pankrác Terrace (II) on the Vltava corresponds according to ZÁRUBA et al. (1977), to the Menapian (Günzian I) Stage, whereas TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) relate it to Cromerian Complex C Substage. The main part of the Zdiby level, which until this paper was entirely classified as Pliocene (cf. Geological map 1:50 000, 12 – 24 Praha), is correlated by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) to the long period including Eburonian, Waalian and Menapian (Günzian), i.e. it is a younger level than the Stříbrnice Terrace. The Kobylisy sands of the Zdiby Stage are classified into the Middle Miocene (about 15 Ma) on the basis a finding of moldavite.
There are also remarkable differences between the correlations proposed in the papers by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) and ZÁRUBA et al. (1977) in the dating of terrace levels. The Vltava Lysolaje Terrace IA (ZÁRUBA et al. 1977), considered up to now as the oldest Quaternary level, is considered by TYRÁČEK et al. (2004) as about 700 – 800 ka, whereas ZÁRUBA et al. (1977) on the basis of palaeomagnetic evidence considered it to be 940 – 980 ka years old. The Zdiby gravels and sands are, except for the Kobylisy sands, equated in the first paper as 1.1 – 1.8 Ma, yet in the second study they are considered to be 2.05 – 2.10 Ma. The Stříbrnice Terrace was formed about 1.8 – 1.9 Ma (TYRÁČEK et al. 2004). This time correlation makes it clear that all the terrace levels, including the Zdiby Stage (excluding the Kobylisy sands) are, following the shift of the Tertiary / Quaternary basal boundary 2.6 million years ago (cf. GIBBARD et al. 2004 and 2010), of Quaternary age. These morphostratigraphical aspects of time correlation of river terraces in the central part of the Bohemian Massif are stressed in the paper by BALATKA and KALVODA (2008; Tab. 2).

The height conditions of the Sázava terraces (Tab. 1) and their classification into the chronostratigraphical system of Central Bohemian rivers (Tab. 2) led to the conclusion that the whole system of the Sázava river accumulation terraces was developing mostly during the Middle and the Upper Pliocene (from the „Cromerian Complex Stage” to the Warthe Substage) and only erosional stages, before the accumulation of terrace IA, occurred at the end of the Early Pleistocene. The origin of level B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Late Pleistocene Weichselian</td>
<td>Pikovice Terrace (VII)</td>
<td>Maniny Terrace (VII)</td>
<td>Maniny Terrace (Weichselian) Hostin 1 Terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Saal (Warthe)</td>
<td>Poříčí Terrace (VI)</td>
<td>Velirýsy Terrace (VI)</td>
<td>Velirýsy Terrace (Warthe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Saal (Drenthe)</td>
<td>Městečko Terrace (V)</td>
<td>Dejvice Terrace (V)</td>
<td>Dejvice 1 and 2 Terrace (Drenthe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Saal (Fuhne)</td>
<td>Týnec Terrace (IV)</td>
<td>Letná Terrace (IV)</td>
<td>Letná Terrace (Fuhne)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Elsterian</td>
<td>Buda Terrace (IIIb)</td>
<td>Vinohrady Terrace (IIIb)</td>
<td>Vinohrady Terrace (Elsterian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Cromerian Complex (Glacial c)</td>
<td>Chaběřice Terrace (IIla)</td>
<td>Kralupy Terrace (IIIA)</td>
<td>Kralupy Terrace (Cromerian C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Cromerian Complex (Glacial c)</td>
<td>Český Sternberk Terrace (II)</td>
<td>Pankrác Terrace (II)</td>
<td>Pankrác Terrace (Cromerian C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Cromerian Complex (Glacial b)</td>
<td>Hvězdonic Terrace (Ib)</td>
<td>Suchdol Terrace (IB)</td>
<td>Suchdol Terrace (Cromerian B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Pleistocene Cromerian Complex (Glacial a)</td>
<td>Střečov Terrace (Ia)</td>
<td>Lysolaje Terrace (Ia)</td>
<td>Lysolaje Terrace (Cromerian A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Pleistocene Bavelian (Dorst) Menapian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rovné Terrace (Dorst) Vráz Terrace (Menapian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Pleistocene Eburonian, Menapian</td>
<td>Niveau B Radvanice</td>
<td>Zdíby Stadium (Pliocene)</td>
<td>Zdíby Terrace (Eburonian – Menapian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Pleistocene Tiglian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stříbrnický Terrace (upper Tiglian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neogene (Miocene)</td>
<td>Niveau A Bojiště</td>
<td>Klínec Stadium</td>
<td>Kobylisy Sands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2. Chronostratigraphical correlation of the Sázava River terraces and the Vltava – Labe terrace systems in the Bohemian Massif related to the North West European stratigraphical stages of the Quaternary (modified from BALATKA and KALVODA 2008 and 2010)
(Radvanice), formerly classified as Pliocene (TYRÁČEK in KLOMINSKÝ et al. 1994, was based on the then accepted Neogene/Quaternary boundary at 1.65 Ma), then also falls into the Early Pleistocene (Menapian and Eburonian Stages).

An analysis of the dynamics of removal of sediments during the Quaternary in the central part of the Bohemian Massif was aimed at fluvial transport and sedimentation in relation to principal changes of the rivers network character with a progressive epigenetic and antecedent valley evolution (Figs. 7 and 9). Fluvial sediments in the valleys of the Vltava, the Berounka, the Sázava and the Labe are preserved as a large system of river terraces that originated by interaction of climato-morphogenetic and neotectonic processes through the Late Cenozoic. For this reason, KALVODA and BALATKA (2006) and BALATKA and KALVODA (2008) described the palaeogeographical history of the central part of the Bohemian Massif. The sedimentary and morphological records of the evolution of antecedent valleys and river accumulation terraces in this area are also compared to the European stratigraphical stages of the Quaternary.

The oldest river accumulation terraces in Central Bohemia are situated above the margins of valley incisions. During the oldest Pleistocene, the Vltava and its affluents freely meandered in shallow and large valleys on a planation surface of Neogene age. The relative height of the oldest river terraces above the present river valley bottoms of the central part of the Bohemian Massif exceeds 100 m. This indicates the approximate extent of deep erosion by rivers during the Quaternary. Geomorphological determination of values of the Vltava antecedent deepening in the late Cenozoic, mainly based on the position and sedimentary structure of river accumulation terrace relics, indicated that deep erosion of this river was the greatest during part of the Middle Pleistocene.

CONCLUSIONS

The Sázava course and catchment were initiated, in a form similar to the present one, either already at the beginning of the Neogene (MALKOVSKÝ 1975, 1976 and 1979 or CÍLEK et al. 2004) or during younger periods of the Neogene. During the Sázava course and valley formation, a significant part was probably played by tectonic movements of a continuous character – mega-synclinal folding at the site of the present valley and mega-anticlinal uplift in watershed areas to the north (Fig. 1). The main drives towards the Sázava drainage reunification were arch-like uplift of the present watershed area between the Sázava and the Labe during the Upper Miocene to Pliocene. During the Pliocene, the Sázava flowed to the west, in a large vale-shaped valley deepened into a tectonically and denudationally deformed Palaeogene planation surface, as well as into lower Neogene planation levels. The present altitude of the Sázava – Labe watershed, in places of the presumed direction of the „Světelská řeka“ and the „Replická řeka“ Rivers (almost 500 m), indicates that the relative uplift of this area could have reached roughly 70 – 100 m, related to the country along the Sázava valley.

Geomorphological analysis of the pre-Quaternary relief has demonstrated the existence of a lowered Palaeogene planation surface of „etchplain type“ in watershed positions (at relative heights of mostly 150 – 180 m) and two to three lower levels of denudational plateaux of Neogene age (90 – 130 m above the river, Fig. 8). These lower levels can be classified mostly as pediments developed in a largely pre-Quaternary vale-shaped valley depression. The highest of the denudational plateaux represents relics of a planation surface of Lower to Middle Miocene age, the other two levels are probably Upper Miocene and Pliocene.

The Quaternary cutting of the Sázava valley to an average depth of 70 – 90 m (in the upper segment about 50 m and in the lowest course up to 105 m, Fig. 14) was induced by an epeirogenetic uplift of the Bohemian Massif. The typically developed incised meanders and bends were formed mostly in the Middle Pleistocene. From the Late Pleistocene to the Pliocene, free bends developed during the strong erosion which followed after the uplift of the Bohemian Massif. During the Quaternary, deepening of the ground plan of these valley bends did not change significantly.

The Sázava terrace system is characteristic by its variable structure which is conditioned by specific hydrodynamic processes that existed during the late Cenozoic evolution of the valley. The reconstruction method, consisting of construction of the long profile through the river terraces and of a series of transverse-valley profiles (BALATKA and KALVODA 2010), enabled the differentiation of seven main terraces with several subsidiary levels and two levels of Neogene fluvial to fluvio-lacustrine sediments. Whereas the surfaces of pre-Quaternary deposits are up to 135 m higher than the present river level, the relative height of the highest (I) Quaternary terrace in the middle course is only about 60 m (Tab. 1).
The valley evolution and the terrace-system structure were significantly influenced by two segments of an increased gradient of the river level: the upper one in the granite Melechov massif (river kilometres 139.5 – 135.4, Fig. 3) with a mean gradient of 5.7 ‰ and the lower one (river kilometres 17.9 – 5.0), with a gradient of 3.9 ‰. These gradient steps are separated by a 117.5 km long segment with a mean gradient of 0.88 ‰. The shape of the gradient curve of the long middle course is influenced neither by the significantly increasing water content of the river nor by the different resistance to weathering and erosion of the various crystalline rocks of the substrate. Two gradient steps are fronts of retrogressive erosion that are progressing against the stream and this progress greatly slowed, in places, with more resistant rocks. The upper step was formed during the erosional period between terraces II and III, i.e. between the „Cromerian Complex glacial C“ and the Elsterian glacial Stage, the lower step was formed during erosional stages between terraces III and IV, i.e. from the Elsterian glacial to the Weichselian glacial (Tab. 2). The present valley bottoms (floodplains), above both gradient steps, are situated in hanging positions by about 25 m at the upper step and about 40 m at the lower step.

The gradient steps induced a huge fluvial accumulation in lower situated segments of the Sázava course. Below the upper step in the Melechov massif an accumulation (aggradation) of terrace III (Chabětice) occurred which, at an exceptionally high thickness of about 25 m, progressively levelled this step into a curve corresponding to the equilibrium profile (KREJČI 1939). In a shorter segment of the middle course (about 30 km), huge aggradations of terrace III are preserved only in fillings of abandoned valley segments (ZÁRUBA and RYBAŘ 1961). Analysis of the Sázava terrace system has confirmed the exceptional significance of abandoned valley segments (Fig. 4) for the reconstruction of the course of river terraces in the long profile, as well as for knowledge of valley evolution stages.

During the erosional stage before accumulation of sediments of the terrace III, the Sázava valley in its middle course was deepened almost to the level of the present valley floor. Therefore, during the long period of part of the Middle and Early Pleistocene, in its middle course, the Sázava formed an entirely normal gradient profile. The gradient step of the lower course, which has also been developing at present, caused a terrace accumulation in the valley of the nearby Vltava. The present Sázava valley bottom between both gradient steps is situated, in comparison with the Vltava floodplain, in a markedly hanging position by about 40 m. It was deepened to its present level at the time of the formation of terrace VI. The Sázava valley bottom above the Melechov trough is situated approximately only 4 – 5 m below the supposed surface of terrace III.

Height conditions of the Sázava River terraces are shown in Tab. 1 and comparison of the Sázava system with terrace systems of Vltava and Labe as well as chronostratigraphical correlation with the North European stratigraphical classification of the Quaternary is given in Tab. 2. According to the current stratigraphical scheme of the Quaternary (e.g. GIBBARD et al. 2004 and 2010), the entire Sázava terraces’ system was formed mostly to the Middle and Upper Pleistocene, that is to the period from the „Cromerian Complex“ to the Weichselian stages. Erosional stages, before accumulation of terrace I, fall in the end of the Early Pleistocene. Older levels of fluvial sediments, occupying a still higher morphological position in the studied areas of the Bohemian Massif and up to now classified as Pliocene, have thus been stratigraphically to be shifted to the Early Pleistocene.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was elaborated within the research project of the Faculty of Science, Charles University in Prague, MSM 0021620831 „Geographical systems and risk processes in the context of global changes and European integration”.

REFERENCES


